

From: Butler, David
Sent: Wednesday, May 23, 2018 10:16 AM
To: Richard Whitt; K. Chad Burgess; Nelson, Jeff
Cc: Wheat, Jo; Schmieding, Janice; Carrie Schurg; ejones@selcsc.org; rhall@bakerdonelson.com; Scott Elliott; Matthew Gissendanner
Subject: RE: Docket No. 2018-9-E

OK. Thanks, Richard.
David Butler

From: Richard Whitt [mailto:rlwhitt@AustinRogersPA.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 23, 2018 10:13 AM
To: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>; K. Chad Burgess <chad.burgess@scana.com>; Nelson, Jeff <jnelson@regstaff.sc.gov>
Cc: Wheat, Jo <Jo.Wheat@psc.sc.gov>; Schmieding, Janice <Janice.Schmieding@psc.sc.gov>; Carrie Schurg <caschurg@AustinRogersPA.com>; ejones@selcsc.org; rhall@bakerdonelson.com; Scott Elliott <sellott@elliottlaw.us>; Matthew Gissendanner <matthew.gissendanner@scana.com>
Subject: Docket No. 2018-9-E

David:

1. On May 17, 2018, I withdrew Requests for Admission "8", "10", "11", "12" and "13", which I believe are the Requests, to which you are referring.
2. I noted the withdrawal of these Requests for Admission in a responsive filing with the Commission.
3. Sorry for any confusion.

Regards,
Richard Whitt.

From: Butler, David [mailto:David.Butler@psc.sc.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2018 3:43 PM
To: K. Chad Burgess <chad.burgess@scana.com>; Matthew Gissendanner <matthew.gissendanner@scana.com>; Nelson, Jeff <jnelson@regstaff.sc.gov>; ejones@selcsc.org; ejones@selcsc.org; Richard Whitt <rlwhitt@AustinRogersPA.com>; rhall@bakerdonelson.com; Scott Elliott <sellott@elliottlaw.us>
Cc: Wheat, Jo <Jo.Wheat@psc.sc.gov>; Schmieding, Janice <Janice.Schmieding@psc.sc.gov>; Carrie Schurg <caschurg@AustinRogersPA.com>
Subject: Docket No. 2018-9-E

Note to Richard Whitt:

Richard, the Commission is in possession of the redacted version of the First Request for Admissions of the South Carolina Solar Business Alliance, Incorporated in Docket No. 2018-9-E. We need two things related to this document: 1) a letter requesting that the document or a portion of the document remain confidential and the reason for the conclusion; and 2) the unredacted version of the document, which may be delivered in a sealed envelope. If desired, the request for confidentiality may be attached to the envelope containing the unredacted version of the First Requests. A ruling will then be forthcoming on the confidentiality.
Thank you in advance for your help in this matter.

Regards,
David Butler